<u>MSU (</u>	CONTRACT ROUTING SHEET	
IMPORTANT: Contracts are to be signed by the delega		process.
□ New Contract □ Renewal Contract □ Ch	ange Order 🛛 Other:	
Expense Account Number:	Date Routed:	
P.O. Number:	form in its entirety. Indicate non-applicable items	by N/A
		<i>by</i> 1/11.
Contract Purpose:		
Contract Period:		hen:
Contract Deliverables:		
Board of Regents (BOR) Approval Required: Yes D No D This contract must go to the BOR if: it is \$500,000 or greater per yes ale, or encumbrance of real property (except mineral lease less that mineral lease less than \$100,000). If BOR approval required, BO	ear (except bequests, gifts, or grants, or a State energy agreer an \$100,000), or a contract in excess of 5 years (except with	nent), or involves acquisition, purchase, 120 days or less cancellation notice or
MSU Office of Origin:	Individual Responsible:	Phone No:
University Funds Required: No 🗖 Yes 🗖 \$	Verified Available: Yes 🗆 No 📮 Revenue	Contract Yes 🗆 No 🗖
Contractor:	Contractor's email address:	
Contractor Representative:	Phone: Fax:	
Contractor's Address:		
Resident Contractor: Yes 🗆 No 🖵 State of Non-Resi		
Procurement: bid out sole source (attached)		
Historically Underutilized Business (HUB): Yes 🗆 No 🗖	HUB Plan (required over \$100,000): Yes 🗆 No 🗖	
Review and Approval Signatures: Department By signing form, Department verifies they will abide by the terms of a services, and/or personnel (e.g., IT Dept –hardware/software & Sec Initiating Dept.'s Designated Contract Liaison/Monitor	c. 508 contract addenda attached; office of sponsored progra	ums for external funding - grants & gifts)
Other Dept. Supervisor(s) Contributing Personnel/Servic	2es	Date
Dean		Date
Approval required on all contracts for reporting departments/gram		
Associate Vice President Approval required on all contracts for reporting departments/gran	its	Date
Appropriate Vice President		Date
Approval required on all contracts for reporting departments; VP of less than \$100,000 per year for reporting departments	Univ. Advancement & Public Affairs on all grants; signatur	re authority of appropriate VP for contracts
Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs Approval required on: all contracts for reporting departments, affi for contracts of less than \$100,000 per year for reporting departme		Date red research grants; signature authority
Vice President for Administration and Finance		Date
Approval required on: all contracts for reporting departments, fisca and consulting services; signature authority for: contracts of less t		msored research grants, and professional
Purchasing /Contract Management Office Approval required on all contracts (except University employment Proposed contract attached?YesNo If applicabl Required Notice for Professional/Consulting Services (Tex. Gov Verify that the solicitation, purchasing methods, and contractor Verify that University documented best value standard used and Verify that University has complied with required TEC filing di	e, a copy is attached of the delegation of signature authority vt. Code §2254) by: LBBYesNo Gov.'s Bu selection process comply with State law and University pol- d complied with University's & Comptroller's contract mana	dget/Planning Office Yes No icy? Yes No agement guide?YesNo
Other Reviews and Approval Signatures, as required:		
General Counsel Approval required on all contracts unless using an unmodified star Comment:	ndard contract form pre-approved in writing	Date
President		Date
Approval required on all contracts: for direct reporting department related to mineral interest in real property of less than \$100,000, li legal counsel; required signature authority (or designee) on all con BOR), for outside legal counsel Comment:	censes /conveyances of MSU intellectual property, professio	onal and consulting services, and outside
This Section for Use by MSU Purchasing/Contract Managed	gement Office Only:	

Risk Assessment Matrix (RAM)

Table 1: Evaluation Criteria

Factor	Low	Medium	High	Extremely High	
	1	2	3	4	
Total Cost	<\$25k	>= \$25k but <\$100k	>= \$100k but <\$1 million	>\$1 million	
Type of Contract Purchase	Interagency, MOU or Interlocal	Contract less than 25k	Consulting, Emergency, Sole Source, Proprietary, or Construction > \$25k	Major informat ion technolo gy purchase	
Payment Type/Structure	Fixed price or contingency	Rate or Fee for Services	Cost Reimbursement >= \$100k but <\$1 million	Cost Reimbursemen t	
Essential MSU Function	Contract services are not essential to MSU's mission	to MSU's mission	Contract services are essential to MSU's mission >= \$100k but <\$1 million	Contract services are essential to MSU's mission	
Percentage of Services Performed by Subcontractors	No Subcontractor involvement	Subcontractors account for less than 50% of contract work performed	Subcontractors account for 50% to 75% of contract work performed	Subcontractors account for more than 75% of contract	
Stability & Experience of Vendor's Key Management	No recent change and significant experience	No recent change, but not significant experience; or recent change but significant experience	Recent change and not significant experience	Recent change and less than 1 year of experience	
Compliance History	No issues of non- compliance	Moderate instances of non- compliance	Substantial finding of non-compliance	Substantial finding of non- compliance –	
Past Programmatic Performance	Met or exceeded all output and outcome measures	Met 90% or more but less than 100% of output and outcome measures	Met 75% or more but less than 90% of output and outcome measures	Met less than 75% of output and outcome	
Audit Results	No audit required or no issues or findings in audit(s)	Moderate issues or findings in audit(s)	Substantial issues or findings in audit(s)	Substantial issues or findings in audit(s)	
Number of Years Vendor in Business	More than 5 years	3 to 5 years	1 to 3 years	Less than 1 year	
Performance Measures	Contract contains multiple defined and measurable performance	Contract contains at least one clearly defined or measurable performance measure	No performance measures included in contract >= \$100k but <\$1 million	No performance measures included in	
Deliverables	Contract contains multiple deliverables that are clearly defined	Contract contains at least one clearly defined deliverable	No deliverables included in contract	No deliverables included in contract	
Financial Reports Required	>= \$500k but <\$1 million – substantial financial reports required	>= \$1 million but <\$1 million – substantial financial reports required	>= \$1 million - minimal financial reports required	>= \$1 million - no financial reports	

Table 1A:

Evaluation Table (Use the criteria in Table 1 to determine the score for each factor and total up the scores.)

Project Name:				
Factor	Comments	Score		
Total Cost				
Type of Contract Purchase				
Payment Type/Structure				
Essential MSU Function				
Percentage of Services Performed by Subcontractors				
Stability & Experience of Vendor's Key Management				
Compliance History				
Past Programmatic Performance				
Audit Results				
Number of Years in Business				
Performance Measures				
Deliverables				
Financial Reports Required				
Other				
	TOTAL			

Table 2: Risk Assessment Matrix (Use the scores provided in Table 1A to determine the level of risk.)

Risk Level		Point Range	Description	
Extremely High Risk	E	40-52	Projects include unexpected levels of risk, including critical issues that are likely to occur if the contract is not properly managed. Contract management staff must consider possible contract risks, document and include them in the contract management plan and determine how risk will be monitored.	
High Risk	н	27-39	Projects contain potentially serious risks that may occur. The contract management plan must include language that ensures proactive strategies to reduce risk and the method of monitoring the risk.	
Medium Risk	Μ	14-26	Projects contain some level of risk that may occur. Contract management staff should consider if a contract management plan is required. If a contract management plan is not required, the purchaser will identify and document with an explanation of how risks will be managed. This information will be maintained with other contract documentation.	
Low Risk	L	0-13	Projects contain minimal risks and are unlikely to occur. Contract management staff can proceed with contracting as planned.	
Summary of Risk Management:				

Risk Mitigation Plan: