ADDENDUM TWO (2)

June 19, 2018

Reference:  RFP #735-18-8207 Simulation Software Development

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This letter serves as addendum two to the above referenced RFP. Please make note of the change in the due date:

   Due date has been extended to June 28, 2018.

Please see questions and answers below.

1.  Can Midwestern confirm the total number of rooms they would like to record and the types of rooms? Will all of these be recorded simultaneously?

   **Nursing:** We will have 6 hi-fidelity care settings within the new SIM Center: 4 SIM patient rooms and 2 mother/baby care areas, however, our top priority is not necessarily recording all of the events in each room simultaneously, especially if increasing the ability to simultaneous record is cost prohibitive.

   **Respiratory:** There are four sim rooms that we would like to use for recording. I would also like the IPE room to be recorded. If four instructors are checking individuals off the rooms would need to be recorded simultaneously.

2.  Can Midwestern clarify the type of simulation in each room and if simulators or SPs will be used? At this time we do not use Standardized Patients (SPs). The possibility of using SPs in the future is always there.

   **Nursing:** The manikin brands we intend to use in the new Simulation Center are Laerdal and Gaumard. The Skills lab will have access to one many two high fidelity manikins, mostly they will be low/medium fidelity ones.
Possible Models from Laerdal:
Laerdal SIM Essential HF Adult 213-02301
Laerdal Nursing Anne LF Adult Female 320-05050
Laerdal Nursing Kelly LF Adult Male 300-05050
Laerdal Sim Mom 377-02050
Laerdal Sim Baby HF Infant 246-00150
Laerdal Sim NewB HF Newborn 296-00050
Laerdal manikin operating system: “LLEAP” software

Possible Models from Gaumard:
Gaumard HAL HF Adult S3201
Gaumard Birthing Victoria HF Birthing Mom S2200
Gaumard Pedi HAL HF Child S2225
Gaumard Super Tory HF Baby S2220
Gaumard manikin operating system: “UNI” software

Respiratory: We will be using Gaumard and Laerdal simulators.

3. Would Midwestern like to record in any debrief spaces? If so, will these be occurring at the same time as simulations?

   Nursing: Yes for debriefing spaces, however, it is not a priority at this time to have it occurring at the same time as simulations. Priority is in the ability to play back recordings.

   Respiratory: The respiratory department does not have debrief space. We will have to use classrooms for debriefing.

   L3AV: All infrastructure cabling to support recording in the debrief rooms was removed by the client.

4. Can Midwestern clarify which control rooms control which simulation rooms?

   Nursing: Simulation Center will have one control room and the capacity to manage simulations in the open area (nursing station) on the fly. There is a designated area in the skills area that will be a control room in the future to manage at least 2 high fidelity manikins in the Skills area or record student work.

   Respiratory: There is one control room 250 for the respiratory department. It will control the four simulation rooms RC Sim 1, 2, 3, 4
OR control room 258 will control IPE Simulation Room 257.

L3AV: An IP KVM system has been specified to allow either control room on the 2nd floor to control any of the simulation rooms in either respiratory and/or nursing.

5. Is Midwestern interested in pricing for any mobile recording solutions? Yes – for nursing and respiratory.

6. Would Midwestern like us to include prepaid Annual Support and Maintenance in the quote? If so, how many years would they like? That would be great. I would think at least ten years

7. Is there a planned go-live date for the center? Not sure the timeframe of the building – one would hope as the building opens 2019.

8. Could we receive an extension on the due date? We want to make sure we have enough time to create a complete proposal that bests meets Midwestern’s needs. Nursing and Respiratory have no issues with an extension. Posted on the MWSU.edu purchasing website – all sealed bids are due by email by 2:00pm (CST) June 21, 2018.

9. For the Simulation Software Interview & Demonstration document – is this just to guide vendors during the onsite presentation portion, or would Midwestern like written responses to these questions as part of the RFP process? Would like questions answered as part of the RFP – and to help guide those selected to a demonstration presentation.


11. In regards to eventually upgrading to 1080p, is this a preference or a must-have? Please note this may affect the design and pricing substantially. At this time it may be a preference depending on the solutions selected in the various areas. What are the possibilities of upgrading in the future?

L3AV: The infrastructure and cameras specified are capable of supporting 1080p. Currently, the design is to reduce the camera output to 720p.

12. Is there a scope of work section for the simulation recording and management vendor software requirements? Need to defer this one – not sure who or where it is located.
L3AV: The recording RFP and questionnaire define the scope of work for the software vendor. The functions beyond basic recording, playback and storage that are ultimately chosen are dependent on the value as perceived by the client.

13. Can you clarify the difference between the MWSU Sim Recording RFP document and the MWSU Sim Audiovisual RFQ documents? It looks like there are requirements in the two that contradict each other – should one of them take precedence? **This bid package is for Sim Recording, not A/V so the Recording RFP should govern. Suggest noting discrepancies in bid submission if there are concerns about non-compatibility or the like.**

L3AV: The recording RFP references the hardware that is part of the Sim Audiovisual RFP. It does not suggest the Sim Recording Contractor will provide the audiovisual hardware. As stated in the Sim Recording RFP, and additional equipment required by the Sim Recording Contractor to connect to the audiovisual equipment as specified must be in the Sim Recording Contractor’s bid.

14. Is it acceptable to bid on a) the simulation recording and management software portion alone b) the AV portion alone or c) both? Is there a preference for any of these options? Can a vendor bid on multiple options? **This is only a Sim Recording RFP; the A/V RFP will be issued separately after the software costs are defined.**

Thank You

Steve Shelley
Director of Purchasing/Contract Management